Application No. 10/571,144 Amendment dated May 2, 2011 Office Action mailed: April 20, 2011

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Charles GROELLER

Application No.:

10/571,144

Filed:

March 9, 2006

For:

Battery Cable with Provisions for Integral Circuit Protection

Examiner:

Javaid H. NASRI

Art Unit:

2839

RESPONSE TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT AND AMENDMENT

Mail Stop Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed April 20, 2011, the following response is submitted.

An Election of Species is made on page 2.

Amendments to the Claims are shown in the listing of the claims beginning at page 3.

Remarks begin at page 7.

Application No. 10/571,144 Amendment dated May 2, 2011 Office Action mailed: April 20, 2011

## Response to Election Requirement

In response the election requirement, Applicant elects, with traverse, the species of the invention illustrated by Figures 1A-C and 2. The elected invention is defined by claims 1-18.

All of claims 1-18 are generic to both species.

The requirement is traversed because the Examiner failed to point out how the identified species differ so that the claims are not generic. Further, the Examiner has not explained how or why a search for one species would not also locate art related to the other species, that is, why there would be an added burden in searching both species.